Table 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | R | A |  | B | Salt | Method | \% yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recrystn }{ }^{b} \\ & \text { solvent } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Formula ${ }^{g}$ | Antiinflam act, \%inhib of edema |
| $1)$ |  <br> H |  |  | H | $\mathrm{HCl}^{\text {c }}$ | C | 72 | E | 222-223 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | 29 |
| 2 ) |  |  | $0$ |  |  | D | 90 | E | 188-190 dec | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS} . \\ \mathrm{HCl} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 3 |  |  | Phth |  |  | C | 60 | E | 132-133 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |
| $4)$ |  |  | Phth | H | HCl | C | 66 | E | 206-208 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |
| $5)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H |  |  |  | D | 86 | F | 192-194 dec | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} . \\ \mathrm{HCl}^{d} \end{gathered}$ | 55 |
| 6 ) |  |  | Phth | H | HCl | C | 70 | E | 143-145 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 24 |
| 7\} | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H |  |  |  | D | 70 | F | 161-163 dec | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{HCl}{ }^{-} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | H | Phth |  |  | C | 50 | E | 146-148 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |
| 9) |  |  |  | H | 2 HCl | D | 90 | F | 140-142 dec | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \\ 2 \mathrm{HCl} f \end{gathered}$ | 36 |

$a^{a}$ Yield of once recrystallized material. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}{ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ${ }^{c}$ Free base, mp 149-151 ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 52.99 ; found, 52.27. Nmr (DMF) $\delta 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{e} \mathrm{Nmr}\left(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \delta 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. f C : Calcd, 30.32 ; found, 30.82 . H : Calcd, 4.58 ; found, $5.18 . \mathrm{Nmr}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.75-8.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \boldsymbol{g}_{\text {All compds were analyzed for } \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} \text {. }}$
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# Pyrimidine Derivatives and Related Compounds. 15. ${ }^{1}$ Synthesis and Analgetic and Antiinflammatory Activities of 1,3-Substituted 5-Amino-6-methyluracil Derivatives 

Shigeo Senda,* Kosaku Hirota, and Kazuo Banno<br>Gifu College of Pharmacy, Mitahora, Gifu, Japan. Received August 12, 1971

3-Alkyl-5-dimethylamino-6-methyl-1-phenyluracils (A), 1-alkyl-5-dimethylamino-6-methyl-3-phenyluracils (B) and their related compounds were synthesized and their acute toxicities and analgetic, antipyretic, and antiinflammatory activities were investigated. In the synthesis, substituted ureas were treated with diketene or ethyl acetoacetate, the 5 position of the resulting 1 -substituted 6 -methyluracils or 3 -substituted 6 -methyluracils was halogenated, and then the intermediate was refluxed in DMF with various amines to give 47 new 1,3 -substituted 5 -amino-6-methyluracil derivatives. The analgetic activities of group A (where 3 -alkyl is Me or allyl) and group B (where 1-alkyl is $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$, or allyl) were of the same or higher order than that of aminopyrine combined with lower toxicity ( $0.5-0.25$ ). Antiinflammatory activities of many of them were also comparable to or higher than that of benzydamine.

Senda, et al., had previously synthesized ${ }^{2} 3$-cyclohexyl-5-di-methylamino-1,6-dimethyluracil (1) in which the pyrazolone ring of aminopyrine was expanded to a uracil ring. However, some difficulties were encountered in the synthesis of the
uracil derivatives which have now been overcome. We have also investigated a relation between the pharmacological actions (analgetic, antipyretic, and antiinflammatory actions and acute toxicities) and chemical structures with particu-
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lar attention to 3-alkyl-5-dimethylamino-6-methyl-1-phenyluracils (A) and 1-alkyl-5-dimethylamino-6-methyl-3-phenyluracils (B).

Table 1. 1-Substituted 6-Methyluracils

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd No. | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mp},{ }^{a}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Appearance (colorless) | Yield, \% | Formula ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 7 | Me | $228{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Prisms | 56 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 8 | Cyclopentyl | 228 | Prisms | 64 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 9 | Cyclohexyl | 241 | Needles | 72 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 10 | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 291 | Prisms | 83 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 11 | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 305 | Needles | 83 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 12 | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 270 | Needles | 45 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |

$a_{\text {All compds were recrystd from } \mathrm{MeOH} \text {. ball compds were analzy- }}^{\text {com }}$ ed for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} .{ }^{c}{ }^{\text {Lit. }}{ }^{3} \mathrm{mp} 220^{\circ}$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 61.83; found, 61.36.

Chemistry. 1-Substituted 6 -methyluracils [substituents: Me (7), cyclopentyl (8), cyclohexyl (9), $p$ - $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (10), $p$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(11)$, and $\left.p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(12)\right]$ were synthesized (Table I) by a condensation of monosubstituted ureas with diketene followed by a ring closure similar to that used in the synthesis of 6 -methyl-1-phenyluracil $(6)^{4}$ where phenylurea was condensed with diketene in AcOH and the resulting intermediate 1 -acetoacetyl-3-phenylurea (2) was subjected to a ring closure. In the present study, it was possible to isolate and identify some of the intermediate 3 -substituted 1 -acetoacetylureas but, in general, heating without isolating such an intermediate was advisable.
When the resulting 1 -substituted 6 -methyluracils (6-12) and 3 -substituted 6 -methyluracils ${ }^{5}$ [substituents: $\operatorname{Ph}$ (13), cyclopentyl (14), benzyl (15), and phenethyl (16)] were treated with alkylating agents $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{RX}\right), \mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$, respectively, were alkylated to give 1,3 -disubstituted 6 methyluracils (17-40) (Table II). In these reactions 3-alkylation proceeded more easily than that at the 1 position of a pyrimidine ring.
Two methods were utilized for the introduction of secondary amines into position 5 of 1,3 -substituted 6 -methyluracils leading to compounds of the $A$ and $B$ series. The first involved nitration of the pyrimidine, reduction of this moiety, and subsequent alkylation of this amine. 9 and 20 afforded the corresponding 5 -nitro compounds $(41,42)$ in high yield. However where a Ph group was present in the 1 position (17) dinitration occurred (43). This method does present limitations and an additional reaction step compared with the second procedure. This latter method involves halogenation at the 5 position and replacement with the appropriate amine.
Chlorination of 6 and 13 by NaOCl gave the appropriate $5-$ chloro analogs ( 44 and 45 ) in poor yields ( $21-25 \%$ ). Application of $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ resulted in an

Table 1l. 1,3-Disubstituted 6-Methyluracils

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd No. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Mp}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ | Appearance (colorless) | Recrystn solvent | Yield, \% | Formula ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 17 | Ph | Me | 300 | Needles | AcOH | 79 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 18 | Me | Me | $114 b$ | Needles | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 54 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 19 | Cyclopentyl | Me | 146 | Leaflets | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 95 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 20 | Cyclohexyl | Me | 214 | Needles | MeOH | 95 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 21 | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 299 | Leaflets | MeOH | 63 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 22 | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 275 | Needles | MeOH | 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 23 | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 234 | Leaflets | MeOH | 92 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{Me}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ph | 210 | Needles | MeOH | 73 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 25 | Me | Cyclopentyl | 120 | Leaflets | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 60 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 26 | Me | Cyclohexyl | 137 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 70 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 27 28 | Me Me | ${ }_{\mathrm{PhCH}}^{2}$ | 165 135 | Prisms Needles | ${ }_{\mathrm{MeOH}}^{\mathrm{MeOH}}$ | 65 83 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 28 29 | Me Ph | $\underset{\mathrm{Et}}{\mathrm{PhCH}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 135 211 | Needles Prisms | MeOH | 83 95 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| 30 | Ph | $i$ - Pr | 182 | Needles | MeOH | Trace | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 31 | Ph | $n$-Bu | 186 | Needles | MeOH | 76 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 32 | Ph | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 145 | Needles | AcOEt | 81 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 33 | Et | $\mathrm{Ph}{ }^{2}$ | 177 | Needles | Ligroin | 95 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 34 | $n$-Bu | Ph | 99 | Needles | Ligroin | 77 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | 140 | Needles | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 53 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{Ph}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{EtOOCCH}_{2}$ | 176 | Needles | MeOH | 85 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| 37 38 | Ph | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCOCH}_{2}$ | 254 | Prisms | MeOH | 63 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{EtOOCCH}_{2}$ | Ph | 123 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 65 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| 39 | Cyclopenty1 | $\mathrm{EtOOCCH}_{2}$ | 988 | Prisms | ${ }_{\text {Ligroin }}$ | 64 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| 40 | Cyclohexyl | $\mathrm{EtOOCCH}_{2}$ | 132 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 58 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |

[^0]
improved conversion (55-82\%) as shown in Table III.
5 -Bromination of the pyrimidine ring gave 1,3 -substituted 5 -bromo-6-methyluracils (49-70) (Table III) com-
paratively easily and in high yields by adding a quantitative amount of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in glacial AcOH . However, this method was not suitable for the halogenation of 6 -methyluracil derivatives having 2-hydroxyethyl or alkenyl groups at the 1 or 3 position since various side reactions other than 5 -halogenation took place. Thus we synthesized 1,3 -substituted 5 -halo6 -methyluracils (71-78) by the reaction of 5 -halo- 6 -methyl1 -phenyluracils $(44,65)$ or 5 -halo- 6 -methyl-3-phenyluracils $(45,70)$ with 2 -chloroethanol, allyl bromide, or 2-cyclohexenyl bromide. When 63 and 64 ( 1 - or $3-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ ) were hydrolyzed in concd HCl , 5-bromo-3-carboxymethyl-6-methyl-1-phenyluracil (79) and 5-bromo-1-carboxymethyl-6-methyl-3-phenyluracil (80) were obtained, respectively. The Michael reaction of 65 with ethyl acrylate gave 5-bromo-3-ethoxycarbonylethyl-6-methyl-3-phenyluracil (81).
In the iodination of the 5 position of the pyrimidine ring, 5 -iodo-3,6-dimethyl-1-phenyluracil (82) and 5-iodo-1, 6 -di-methyl-3-phenyluracil (83) were obtained from 17 and 24 , respectively, by a conventional route (Table III).

1,3-Substituted 5-halo-6-methyluracils (44-83), obtained by various halogenation methods, were then heated at $100^{\circ}$ in a sealed tube with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, or amines in DMF, to give

Table 111. 1,3-Substituted 5-Halo-6-methyluracils

$a_{\text {All }}$ Compds were analyzed for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. bYellow crystal. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 60.77 ; found, 60.34 .
desired 1,3-substituted 5-amino-6-methyluracils (84-129) (Table IV).
Pharmacology. The resulting compounds were classified into 5 -substituted 3-alkyl-6-methyl-1-phenyluracils (group A), 5-substituted 1-alkyl-6-methyl-3-phenyluracils (group B) and others (group C) and their acute toxicities [ $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ in mice (ip)], analgetic activities [according to Haffner's method with a threshold dose of morphine in mice (ip) and the phenylbenzoquinone writhing technique in mice (sc)], antipyretic activities [febrile rats by TTG-a pyrogen obtained from Pseudomonas fluorescens, Fujisawa Pharm. Co.(po)], and antiinflammatory activities [rat hind paw edema induced by carrageenin (po)] were investigated (Table V). The 5 -halouracil derivatives (groups A and B ) were found to exhibit low acute toxicity, but, due to their insignificant
pharmacological activities, these compounds were of little interest.
Acute toxicities of both groups A and B of 5-dialkylaminouracil derivatives were weak being 0.5 to 0.25 of that of aminopyrine. However 121 and 123 (group C) showed marked acute toxicities.
As to analgetic activities, 84, 91, 94, and 99 (group A) and $101,102,108,111,112,114$, and 115 (group B) showed activity equal to or more pronounced than that of aminopyrine. As to antipyretic activities, 99 (group A), 101, $102,107,108,113$, and 114 (group B), and 120,128 , and 129 (group C) gave marked results. As to antiinflammatory activities, 84 and 99 (group A) and 107 and 115 (group B) showed the same or more action than that of benzydamine.

Table 1V. 1,3-Substituted 5-Amino-6-methyluracils

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd No. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mp}^{a} \text { or } \mathrm{bp} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}),{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Appearance ${ }^{b}$ (colorless) | Recrystn solvent | Yield, \% | Formula ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 84 | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 118 | Prisms | Ligroin | 77 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 85 | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 89 | Prisms | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 59 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 86 | Ph | Me | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 93 | Needles | PB | 45 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 87 | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 251 | Needles | MeOH | 33 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 88 | Ph | Me | MeNH | 129 | Prisms | Ligroin | 21 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 89 | Ph | Me | EtNH | 110 | Prisms | PB | 38 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 90 | Ph | Me | $i . \mathrm{PrNH}$ | 118 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 57 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 91 | Ph | Me | Pyrrolidinyl | 124 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 85 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 92 | Ph | Me | Piperidyl | 132 | Needles | PB | 92 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 93 | Ph | Me | Morpholinyl | 147 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 94 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 191 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 94 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 95 | Ph | Et | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 91 | Needles | PB | 91 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 96 | Ph | Et | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 173-175 (0.3) | Oil |  | 62 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 97 | Ph | Et | Piperidyl | 104 | Needles | PB | 96 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 98 | Ph | $n \cdot \mathrm{Bu}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 64 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 75 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 99 | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 180-183 (0.4) | Oil |  | 66 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 100 | Ph | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 148 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 78 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}^{\text {N }} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 101 | Me | Ph | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 135 | Needles | Ligroin | 87 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 102 | Me | Ph | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 121 | Prisms | Ligroin | 73 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 103 | Me | Ph | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 99 | Prisms | PE | 17 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 104 | Me | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 87 | Needles | PE | 23 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 105 | Me | Ph | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 260 | Prisms | MeOH | 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 106 | Me | Ph | MeNH | 152 | Prisms | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 34 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 107 | Me | Ph | $i$. PrNH | 183 | Needles | MeOH | 92 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| 108 | Me | Ph | $n$-BuNH | 110 | Needles | Ligroin | 70 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 109 | Me | Ph | $s$-BuNH | 151 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 66 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 110 | Me | Ph | Pyrrolidinyl | 162 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 84 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 111 | Me | Ph | Piperidyl | 200 | Prisms | MeOH | 55 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 112 | Me | Ph | Morpholinyl | 229 | Needles | MeOH | 47 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 113 | Et | Ph | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 190 | Prisms | MeOH | 95 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 114 | Et | Ph | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 110 | Prisms | Ligroin | 83 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 115 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 139 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 93 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 116 | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 197 | Prisms | MeOH- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 69 45 |  |
| 117 | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | 144 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 45 70 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 118 | p. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 123 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 70 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 119 | $p$ - $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 155 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 66 60 | $\mathrm{C}_{15}^{\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}}$ |
| 120 | Me | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 185-195 (0.2) | Oil |  | 60 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 121 | Cyclopentyl | Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 104 | Leaflets | ${ }_{\text {LeOroin }}$ | 46 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 122 | Cyclopentyl | H Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 234 122 | Needles Needles | MeOH $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 34 94 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 123 124 | Cyclohexyl | Me Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ Pyrrolidinyl | 122 | Needles Leaflets | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 94 76 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 124 | Cyclohexyl | Me Me | Pyrrolidinyl Piperidyl | 104 145 | Leaflets | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 76 54 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 126 | Cyclohexyl | Me | Morpholinyl | 137 | Needles | $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 59 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 127 | Cyclohexyl | H | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 189 | Prisms | MeOH | 59 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 128 | Me | Cyclopentyl | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 142 | Prisms | Ligroin | 64 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 129 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 94 | Needles | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 81 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{HCl}$ salt: $84\left(\mathrm{mp} 210^{\circ}\right), 99\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{190-191}^{\circ}\right), 101\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{216}{ }^{\circ}\right), 115\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{207}{ }^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{b}$ Recrystn solvent: PB (petr ether, bp 50-90 ), PE (petr ether,


Table V. Acute Toxicity and Analgetic, Antipyretic, and Antiinflammatory Activities of 1,3,5-Substituted 6-Methyluracils

| Group | Compd No. | Analgetic activity $\left[\mathrm{ED}_{50}\right]^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Antipyretic activity, ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Antiinflammatory $f \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{50}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Modified Haffner's ${ }^{c}$ method | PO writhingd |  |  | Antinflamm | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| A | 49 | $>2000$ | Ca. 300 | 73 (33-160) |  | -0.1 |  | 20 |
|  | 74 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | $>300$ |  | 5.8 |  | 50 |
|  | 79 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | 180 (62-522) |  | 5.8 |  | 20 |
|  | 82 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | Ca. 200-300 |  | 5.5 |  | 51 |
|  | 84 | 815 (755-880) | 98 (74-129) | 56 (23-134) |  | -0.1 |  | 65 |
|  | 85 | 830 (741-930) | 172 (125-237) | $>300$ |  | -0.4 |  | 46 |
|  | 86 | 800 (702-912) | 102 (54-194) | $>300$ |  | 5.9 |  | 46 |
|  | 90 | 558 (507-614) | 89 (48-165) | 137 (69-274) |  | 1.9 |  | 45 |
|  | 91 | 1250 (1126-1388) | 146 (97-219) | 31 (12-76) |  | 0.2 |  | 33 |
|  | 92 | $>2000$ | 245 (136-441) | 35 (14-86) |  | 1.0 |  | 37 |
|  | 94 | 591 (563-624) | 134 (71-255) | 60 (15-241) |  | 1.8 |  | 35 |
|  | 95 | 510 (470-533) | 63 (47-85) | 146 (56-380) |  | -0.7 |  | 51 |
|  | 96 | 845 (747-955) | 98 (52-186) | 140 (50-392) |  | 0.7 |  | 53 |
|  | 99 | 835 (758-918) | 65 (47-89) | 70 (32-155) | -0.7 | -6.6 |  | 75 |
|  | 100 | 1040 (953-1130) | 215 (123-376) | 54 (29-100) |  | 0.8 |  | 36 |
| B | 56 | 393 (362-426) | 170 (110-264) | 130 (43-390) |  | 1.5 |  | 41 |
|  | 76 | 382 (347-420) | $63(35-113)$ | $>300$ |  | 0.2 |  | 48 |
|  | 83 | 445 (412-480) | 122 (64-232) | $>300$ |  | 7.6 |  | 48 |
|  | 101 | 885 (763-1027) | 102 (67-156) | 46 (22-97) | -5.6 | -7.7 |  | 44 |
|  | 102 | 1100 (957-1265) | 93 (73-119) | 53 (23-121) | 1.9 | -5.0 |  | 45 |
|  | 107 | 648 (620-677) | 151 (117-198) | 73 (37-142) |  | -4.6 |  | 64 |
|  | 108 | 518(491-547) | 94 (75-118) | 47 (24-94) |  | -5.5 |  | 48 |
|  | 109 | 355 (319-381) | 95 (52-173) | 88 (39-198) |  | -0.5 |  | 58 |
|  | 110 | 1460 (1304-1635) | 214 (113-407) | $>300$ |  | -0.2 |  | 32 |
|  | 111 | $>2000$ | 97 (54-175) | 80 (37-172) |  | 3.3 |  | 27 |
|  | 112 | 1110 (965-1 277) | 71 (32-156) | 59 (21-165) |  | 2.6 |  | 35 |
|  | 113 | 1100 (1000-1210) | 136 (83-225) | 77 (31-193) |  | -5.6 |  | 37 |
|  | 114 | 660 (631-690) | 79 (45-140) | 95 (50-181) |  | -5.5 |  | 57 |
|  | 115 | 644 (593-691) | 42 (29-51) | 45 (23-89) |  | -0.6 | 52 | 73 |
|  | 116 | $>2000$ | 170 (124-233) | 130 (96-176) |  | 2.0 |  | 31 |
| C | 1 | 785 (737-836) | 146 (117-178) | 72 (38-136) | -0.2 | -1.8 |  | 36 |
|  | 50 | 252 (230-276) | 104 (67-161) | $51(30-87)$ |  | -3.2 |  | 57 |
|  | 51 | 422 (380-468) | 81 (51-130) | 92 (51-166) |  | 4.1 |  | 26 |
|  | 52 | $>2000$ | 84 (45-155) | $56(20-155)$ |  | 2.7 |  | 22 |
|  | 53 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | $>300$ |  | 4.6 |  | 18 |
|  | 54 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | 230 (96-522) |  | 5.7 |  | 35 |
|  | 55 | $>2000$ | 225 (125-405) | Ca. 200-300 |  | 7.3 |  | 44 |
|  | 57 | 945 (808-1 106) | 89 (51-156) | 42 (18-97) |  | 5.0 |  | 32 |
|  | 59 | 719 (691-748) | 85 (53-136) | 85 (30-246) |  | 6.4 |  | 37 |
|  | 117 | 755 (696-819) | 166 (95-307) | 290 (95-882) |  | 1.9 |  | 41 |
|  | 119 | 1690 (1482-1927) | ) 246 (144-417) | $>300$ |  | 11.0 |  | 41 |
|  | 120 | 680 (582-796) | 170 (112-258) | Ca. 300 |  | -8.9 |  | 38 |
|  | 121 | 33 (30-36) | 50:5/6 (2/6 death) | 11 (6-21) | 5.4 |  | 6/7 death |  |
|  | 123 | 125 (89-175) | 100:2/5 (3/8 death) | 19 (6-62) |  | 4.3 | 2/6 death | 38 |
|  | 124 | $>2000$ | $>300$ | $>300$ |  | 6.5 |  | 24 |
|  | 125 | $>2000$ | Ca. 300 | $>300$ |  | 2.8 |  | 22 |
|  | 126 | 510 (460-566) | 167 (118-237) | 190 (66-551) |  | -0.2 |  | 44 |
|  | 127 | 1540 (1426-1663) | ) 204 (109-382) | 55 (24-128) |  | 2.8 |  | 38 |
|  | 128 | 1220 (1156-1287) | ) $128(93-178)$ | $62(21-186)$ | -0.3 | -5.1 |  | 55 |
|  | 129 | 591 (542-644) | 139 (99-195) | 29 (12-73) |  | -5.6 |  | 37 |
|  | Aminopyrine | 269 (255-284) | 123 (89-170) | 32 (20-52) | -4.1 |  | 69 | 86 |
|  | Benzydamine | 109 (101-118) | 45 (29-70) | 13 (2-31) |  |  | 52 | 67 |
|  | Phenylbutazone | 419 (388-453) | 122 (68-220) | 96 (38-245) |  | 0.1 | (50 mg-62) |  |
|  | Aspyrine | 400 (360-450) | 300:1/2 | $49(21-113)$ |  | -2.4 |  | 47 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{LD}_{50}\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$ ip in female mice). ${ }^{b}$ Analgetic activity, $\mathrm{ED}_{50}\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$ in male mice). ${ }^{c}$ Haffner's method with a threshold dose of morphine in mice (ip). $d$ The phenyl benzoquinone writhing technique in mice (sc). e Antipyretic activity (febrile rats by TTG) ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po in male rats). $f$ Antiinflammatory ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po in male rats).

## Experimental Section

6-Methyl-1-phenyluracil (6). Method A. A mixt of 8.4 g of diketene and 13.6 g of phenylurea was heated to reflux for 3 hr in 80 ml of AcOH , then AcOH was evapd, 60 ml of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ was added to the residue, the mixt was filtered to remove insol matters, and the filtrate was acidified with HCl and filtered to give 6.6 g of the crude product, $\mathrm{mp} 278-281^{\circ}$. It was recrystd from AcOH to give needles of $\mathrm{mp} 281-283^{\circ}$, lit. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{272-274}^{\circ}$. The yield was 4.6 g (22.6\%).

Method B. $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was further used in method A to give 8.3 g of the crude product ( $\mathrm{mp} 281^{\circ}$ ). When this was mixed with 6 obtd by method $A$, no depression in mp was observed.

Method C. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( 5 drops) was also used in method A to give
15.0 g of the crude product (mp $281^{\circ}$ ). No mmp depression with 6 obtd by method A.

Method D. A mixt of 8.4 g of diketene, 13.6 g ( 0.1 mole ) of phenylurea, and 0.1 g of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}$ was kept overnight in 100 ml of AcOH and then AcOH is evapd. The resulting 1 -acetoacetyl-3-phenylurea (2) was, without isolation from the reaction mixt, heated for 30 min on a water bath with $80-90 \mathrm{~g}$ of polyphosphoric acid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 1: 1$ ) and cooled, a large amt of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the mixt was filtered to give 16.2 g of the crude product, mp 272-278. With 6 obtd by method A, no mmp depression took place.

1-Substituted 6-Methyluracils (7-12) (Table 1). Method D was applied; thus, a mixt of 8.4-16.8 g (0.1-0.2 mole) of diketene, 0.1 mole of monosubstituted urea, and 0.1 g of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}$ in 100 ml of

AcOH was allowed to stand overnight, then AcOH was evapd, the residue was heated for 30 min on a water bath with $80-90 \mathrm{~g}$ of polyphosphoric acid and cooled, a large amt of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, the mixt was filtered, and the resulting crude product was recrystd. The intermediate 3 -substituted 1-acetoacetylurea was, if desired, isolated by recrystg a part of the residue from $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [substituents: cyclopentyl (3), mp $128^{\circ} ; p \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (4), mp $172^{\circ} ; p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (5), $\mathrm{mp} 146^{\circ}$ ] and was heated with polyphosphoric acid as mentioned above.

1,3-Disubstituted 6-Methyluracils (17-40) (Table 11). Method A. 1-Substituted 6-methyluracil (6-12) or 3-substituted 6 -methyluracil ${ }^{5}$ (13-16) ( 0.1 mole ) was dissolved in 45 ml of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ soln and the mixt was stirred for 3 hr with 0.12 mole of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ at room temp. When the reaction soln became almost neutral, it was filtered and the resulting crude product was recrystd.

Method B. 1-Substituted 6-methyluracil $(6,8,9)$ or 3-phenyl-6methyluracil (13) ( 0.1 mole) was dissolved in NaOEt soln (from 2.3 g of Na and 200 ml of abs EtOH ), the mixt was heated to reflux for 3 hr with 0.12 mole of alkyl halides (e.g., $\mathrm{EtBr}, i \cdot \mathrm{PrBr}, n-\mathrm{BuBr}, 2-$ chloroethanol, ethyl chloroacetate, chloroacetoamide), the solvent was evapd, 100 ml of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ soln was added to the residue, the mixt was filtered, and the resulting crude product was recrystd.

1-Cyclohexyl-6-methyl-5-nitrouracil (41). $9(10.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was gradually added to a stirring, cold $\left(0-5^{\circ}\right)$ mixt of 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, the mixt was allowed to react for 1 hr and poured over ice water, and the isolated mass was recrystd from MeOH to give 6.9 g (54.5\%) of prisms, mp 235-237 ${ }^{\circ}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-Cyclohexyl-3,6-dimethyl-5-nitrouracil (42). 20 (11 g) was treated in a mixt of 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ above. Recrystn from MeOH gave 7.5 g (55.6\%) of yellow needles, mp $156^{\circ}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,6-Dimethyl-5-nitro-1-nitrophenyluracil (43). 17 (11 g) was treated in a mixt of 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and 50 ml of concd $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. Recrystn from AcOH gave $8.5 \mathrm{~g}(55.6 \%)$ of yellow needles, $\mathrm{mp} 281^{\circ}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1,3-Substituted 5-Halo-6-methyluracils (44-83) (Table 111). Method A. 1,3-Substituted 6-methyluracil (6, 13, 17, 18, 24) (0.1 mole) was added to 180 ml of $5 \% \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{AcOH}, 60 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ was then added, and the mixt was heated to reflux for 3 hr with simultaneous dropwise addn of 15 g of $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After completion of the reaction, the mixt was allowed to cool, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the ppt was filtered.

Method B. 1,3-Disubstituted 6-methyluracils (17-29, 33, 36, 38), 1 -substituted 6-methyluracils (6-9), 6-methyl-3-phenyluracil (13), or l-butyl-6-methyluracil ${ }^{7}$ ( 0.1 mole) were added to 80 ml of AcOH , and 16 g of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and 30 ml of AcOH were gradually added with stirring until the mixt was decolorized. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{ml})$ was added, and the resulting product was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and recrystd.

Method C. To a soln of 2.3 g of Na in 200 ml of EtOH were added 0.1 mole of $44,45,65$, or 70 (Table III) and $0.11-0.12$ mole of alkylating agent (e. g., 2-chloroethanol, allyl bromide, 2-cyclohexenyl bromide, $i-\mathrm{PrBr}$ ) and the mixt was heated to reflux for $3-5 \mathrm{hr}$ on a water bath. After the reaction was over, EtOH was evapd, the residue was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30-50 \mathrm{ml}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ soln was added, and the insol matter was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and recrystd.

Method D. To 50 ml of dioxane was added 10 g of 63 or 64 (Table 1II) and the mixt was heated to reflux with 20 ml of concd HCl . The product was filtered off, and recrystd from EtOH.

Method E. To 200 ml of AcOH were added $6.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.03 \mathrm{~mole})$ of 17 or 24 and $3.8 \mathrm{~g}\left(0.03\right.$ mole) of $1_{2}$, fuming $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ was dropped in gradually, and the mixt was allowed to react until the $1_{2}$ color disap-
peared. After the reaction, the mixt was poured over large quantity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the separated product was collected by filtration and recrystd from MeOH .

5-Chloro-6-methyl-1-phenyluracil (44). $6(6.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to 80 ml of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.6 \mathrm{ml}$ of concd HCl and 11 ml of $10 \% \mathrm{NaClO}$ soln were added successively and the mixt was allowed to react for 3 hr at below $60^{\circ}$. It was poured over ice $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, allowed to stand overnight and filtered, and the resulting mass was recrystd from AcOH to give $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(21.2 \%)$ of needles, $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ}$. No mmp depression with 44 obtd by method A.

5-Chloro-6-methyl-3-phenyluracil (45). $13(6.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to 80 ml of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the mixt was treated in the above chlorination. Recrystn from MeOH gave $1.8 \mathrm{~g}(25.3 \%)$ of a powder, $\mathrm{mp} 257^{\circ}$, no mmp depression with 45 obtd by method $A$.

5-Bromo-1,3,6-trimethyluracil (50). 1,3,6-Trimethyluracil (18) $(4.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in 80 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was heated to reflux for 5 hr with 5.3 g of NBS. The soln was filtered, the resulting mother liquor was washed $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and evapd, and the residue was recrystd from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 1.9 $\mathrm{g}(30.0 \%)$ of needles, $\mathrm{mp} 139^{\circ}$, no mmp depression with 50 obtd by method B.

5-Bromo-3-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-6-methyl-1-phenyluracil (81). A mixt of 15 g of $65,10 \mathrm{~g}$ of ethyl acrylate, 50 ml of pyridine, and 50 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was heated to reflux for 5 hr and the reaction product was recrystd from MeOH to give $1.3 \mathrm{~g}(6.8 \%)$ of prisms, mp $173^{\circ}$; no mmp depression with 81 obtd by method C .

1,3-Substituted 5-Amino-6-methyluracils (84-129) (Table IV). To 50 ml of DMF were added 0.1 mole of 1,3 -substituted 5 -bromo6 -methyluracils (49-59, 61, 62, 71, 72, 74, 76), or 1 -substituted 5 -bromo-6-methyluracils (65,68,69), then 0.3-0.5 mole of amine (e. g., $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 40 \%$ aq soln of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, diallylamine, $n \cdot \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, $30 \%$ aq soln of $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtNH}_{2}, i-\mathrm{PrNH}_{2}, n-\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$, sec- $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$, pyrrolidine, piperidine, morpholine) was added, and the mixt was heated at $100^{\circ}$ for $8-10 \mathrm{hr}$ in a sealed tube. When the bp of the amine was comparatively high, it was not necessary to use a sealed tube. After the reaction, DMF and an excess of amine were removed in vacuo, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the residue, the mixt was filtered, and the sepd product was recrystd. Instead of DMF, other solvents such as $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, and DMSO can be used.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ All compds were analyzed for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . b_{\text {Lit. }}{ }^{6} \mathrm{mp} 110^{\circ} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{~N}$ : calcd, 12.17; found, 12.88 .

